The Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific (ESCAP) serves as the United Nations’ regional hub promoting cooperation among countries to achieve inclusive and sustainable development. The largest regional intergovernmental platform with 53 member States and 9 associate members, ESCAP has emerged as a strong regional think-tank offering countries sound analytical products that shed insight into the evolving economic, social and environmental dynamics of the region. The Commission’s strategic focus is to deliver on the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development, which it does by reinforcing and deepening regional cooperation and integration to advance connectivity, financial cooperation and market integration. ESCAP’s research and analysis coupled with its policy advisory services, capacity building and technical assistance to governments aims to support countries’ sustainable and inclusive development ambitions.
Drawing on the analyses of a limited number of indicators with available data, this snapshot aims to support discussions by policy makers on priorities in ASEAN region and actions required to achieve the 2030 Agenda for Sustainable Development.

The snapshot presents analyses to address the following two sets of questions regarding progress in ASEAN towards achieving SDGs 1-16, benchmarked against the Asia-Pacific regional target values:

**Baseline status: ASEAN in 2015**
- Where did the ASEAN region stand in 2015?
- How much unfinished work was left in 2015?

The answers to these questions are based on an index representing the progress ASEAN made during the period of 2000 to 2015, relative to the progress needed over the entire period of 2000 to 2030.

**Anticipated progress: ASEAN in 2030**
- To what extent is ASEAN projected to achieve the various SDG targets in 2030?
- Which are some of the SDG target areas which ASEAN needs to prioritize additional efforts in order to achieve the ambitions of the 2030 Agenda?

Answers to these questions are based on a metric of the gap between where ASEAN is expected to be assuming the same pace of progress over the period of 2000-2015 and the progress targeted by 2030.

Note: The current assessment is based on a subset of 50 (out of 232) SDGs indicators for which sufficient data for ASEAN Member States are available from ESCAP SDG database. In a few cases proxy indicators have been used. As more data become available, the progress and gap measures may change. Therefore, results should be interpreted with caution. The assessment leaves out SDG 17 on means of implementation.

1 http://www.unescap.org/stat/data
Where did the ASEAN region stand in 2015?

1. No Poverty (5)
2. Zero Hunger (5)
3. Good Health and Well-being (9)
4. Quality Education (3)
5. Gender Equality (3)
6. Clean Water and Sanitation (3)
7. Affordable and Clean Energy (4)
8. Decent Work and Economic Growth (4)
9. Industry, Innovation and Infrastructure (4)
10. Reduced Inequalities (1)
11. Sustainable Cities and Communities (2)
12. Responsible Consumption and Production (2)
13. Climate Action (0)
14. Life Below Water (1)
15. Life on Land (1)
16. Peace, Justice and Strong Institutions (3)
Baseline status

Where did the ASEAN region stand in 2015?

ASEAN as a whole made great progress in four goal areas between 2000 and 2015: poverty eradication (Goal 1); quality education (Goal 4); affordable and clean energy (Goal 7); and life below water (Goal 14). For instance, extreme income poverty as measured by headcount ratio at $1.90 a day (2011 PPP) dropped from 26% to 9% during 2000-2015, more than halved.

In six goal areas, the progress was slow or stagnant in ASEAN between 2000 and 2015. They are: good health and well-being (Goal 3); gender equality (Goal 5); clean water and sanitation (Goal 6); industry, innovation and infrastructure (Goal 9); responsible consumption and production (Goal 12); and peace, justice and strong institutions (Goal 16).

On the other hand, the situation in the region deteriorated in five goal areas. They are: food security and zero hunger (Goal 2); decent work and equitable economic growth (Goal 8); reduced inequality within and between countries (Goal 10); sustainable cities and communities (Goal 11); and life on land (Goal 15). Although progress was made on some of the targets under these goals, the sub-region as a whole regressed across the goals, according to the data available.

Lack of sufficient data has limited progress assessment towards the goals. There was no data for any of the indicators under Goal 13 (climate action), making it impossible to assess progress. The assessment for the rest of the goals was based on the analysis of only a subset of indicators for which data was available. In particular, only one or two indicators were used to assess baseline status for Goals 10-12, and 14 and 15, constraining the accuracy of the results.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>GOAL</th>
<th>Target area</th>
<th>Target area</th>
<th>Target area</th>
<th>Target area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>GOAL 1</td>
<td>Employed poor</td>
<td>International poverty</td>
<td>National poverty</td>
<td>Expenditure on education</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOAL 2</td>
<td>Undernourishment</td>
<td>Stunting</td>
<td>Agriculture investment</td>
<td>Overweight</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOAL 3</td>
<td>Maternal mortality</td>
<td>Under-5 mortality</td>
<td>Neonatal mortality</td>
<td>Tuberculosis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Family planning</td>
<td>Malaria</td>
<td>Health workers</td>
<td>Adolescent fertility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOAL 4</td>
<td>Organized learning (primary)</td>
<td>Teachers’ training (primary)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOAL 5</td>
<td>Women in parliaments</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOAL 6</td>
<td>Improved water</td>
<td>Improved sanitation</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOAL 7</td>
<td>Renewable energy</td>
<td>Energy supply</td>
<td>Clean fuels and technology</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOAL 8</td>
<td>Unemployment</td>
<td>GDP growth</td>
<td>GDP per employed</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOAL 9</td>
<td>Mobile-cellular</td>
<td>CO₂ emissions</td>
<td>R&amp;D investment</td>
<td>Manufacturing % in GDP</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOAL 10</td>
<td>Labour share of GDP</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOAL 11</td>
<td>Urban slums</td>
<td>PM10 Concentration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOAL 12</td>
<td>Material consumption</td>
<td>Material Footprint</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOAL 13</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOAL 14</td>
<td>NA</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOAL 15</td>
<td>Natural forest area</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GOAL 16</td>
<td>Intentional homicide</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Dashboard of SDG targets: ASEAN in 2030

- The SDG dashboard provides an in-depth assessment for selected target areas across the SDGs. It highlights target areas where the ASEAN sub-region needs to maintain (green) or accelerate (yellow) progress, or entirely reverse the trends (red) in order to collectively achieve the targets of the 2030 Agenda.

- ASEAN has been making good progress in a number of target areas under Goals 3 (good health and well-being); 5 (gender equality); 7 (affordable and clean energy); 8 (decent work and economic growth); and 9 (industry, innovation and infrastructure). In order to achieve the goals in 2030, ASEAN as a whole needs to maintain its current rate of progress in some of these target areas and, at the same time, significantly enhances efforts in other target areas under these goals.

- Specifically, ASEAN can expect to meet the 2030 targets by maintaining the past trends in reducing under-5 and maternal mortality, ensuring women’s equal opportunities for leadership, increasing access to renewable energy, enhancing employment, increasing access to mobile network, and reducing CO₂ emissions.

- In contrast, ASEAN has to reverse the trend in several targets areas (highlighted in red colour), including increasing investment in agriculture, reducing overweight and wasting among under-5 children, reducing adolescent fertility, increasing supply of qualified primary school teachers, promoting inclusive and sustainable industrialization, achieving the sustainable management and efficient use of natural resources, conserving natural forests, and reducing all forms of violence and related death rates.
Sizing progress gaps:

- The two spider charts each present the magnitude of extra efforts that are required to accelerate progress (for target areas in YELLOW on the dashboard) or reverse the trend entirely (for target areas highlighted in RED on the dashboard). The magnitude of extra efforts represents the size of progress gaps for target areas where the rate of progress in the past has not been sufficient (progress gaps exist) or trends need to be reversed (regression occurred). It was based on numeric estimates of the progress gap (progress gap ratio) for target areas, normalized to the scale of 100.
Specifically, ASEAN needs to accelerate progress in 25 target areas across 11 goals. The distance from the yellow point to the center of the circle represents the extent of acceleration needed in the rate of progress (see graph labelled “Progress gap”).

At the same time, ASEAN needs to reverse trends in eight target areas where the situation has worsened since 2000 (see graph labelled “Regression”). The distance from the red points to the center of the circle indicates the extent of regression since 2000. For instance, although the area of natural forest as percentage of total land in ASEAN region is above its regional target value, this indicator decreased by two percentage point since 2000. During the same period, both overweight and wasting became more prevalent among under-5 children.

Note:
Progress gap ratio is calculated as the relative size of progress gap in relation to the total progress needed (progress expected + progress gap). The extent of regression is the deviation of progress gap ratio from 100 in negative terms.
- Asia and the Pacific 2016 SDG baseline report

- Tracking progress towards the SDGs: measuring the otherwise ambiguous progress
  http://www.unescap.org/resources/working-paper-series-sdwp05may-2017-tracking-progress-towards-sdgs-measuring OTHERWISE