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General Overview of Road Safety Globally

• More than 1.35 million lives each year (over 25% in Asia).
• Road traffic crashes: 8th leading cause of death.
• First leading cause of death for those aged 5–29 years.
• Up to 50 million injuries.
• 3 times higher death rates in low-income countries than in high-income countries.
• Massive economic, social and health costs

Sources: WHO, 2018 and https://vizhub.healthdata.org/gbd-compare
What is a Road Safety Observatory (RSO)?

• A network:
  ➢ Policy level
  ➢ Data experts

• A forum to exchange and discuss
  ➢ Policy issues
  ➢ Technical and methodological issues
  ➢ Experiences
  ➢ Learn from each other

• A common database
• Common surveys, annual reports, joint research
Asia and Pacific Road Safety Observatory

APRSO is the regional forum on road safety data, policies and practices to ensure the protection of human life on the roads across Asia and the Pacific.

**Mission of APRSO:** To generate robust road crash data and analysis to positively impact on policies for road safety, in order to substantially reduce road traffic fatalities and serious injuries.
APRSO Workshop (20-21 March 2019)

• Unanimous support for the establishment of an APRSO
• Governance struvite follow other regional RSOs
• General Assembly/Annual meetings held at UNESCAP
• Secretariat hosted within ADB
• Development partners prepare budget
• Task forces
Task Forces

• Established by General Assembly
• Led by two-members (Lead and co-Lead)
• Technical support as required
• Funding through Secretariat, utilizing APRSO funds
• Monthly reports to SC and presentation at SC meetings
• Findings / conclusions submitting to General Assembly during the annual meeting
APRSO Governance

APRSO will be made up of the following bodies:
• General Assembly
• Steering Committee
• Task Forces
• Secretariat.
APRSO Governance – Next steps

- Discuss the pros and cons of different structures and levels of formality

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Positive</th>
<th>Negative</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Create official UN body</td>
<td>Full commitment</td>
<td>Time to establish Legal requirements</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tie to existing UN Body or Convention (E.g. UN Decade of Action – Stockholm)</td>
<td>Faster to establish</td>
<td>Might not be appropriate body</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>“official” non-legal, non-binding (Multi-stakeholder partnership / UN Type-II)</td>
<td>Quasi-formal</td>
<td>Specific role Accountability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Potential imbalance of power</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regional platform (Similar to GMS / CAREC)</td>
<td>Easy to establish Flexible</td>
<td>Formal commitment binding</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- UN Resolution on Road Safety
  - Ministerial Conference on Road Safety Nov 2021
  - Committee on Transport Nov 2020
## Minimum set of Indicators

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Type of Indicators</th>
<th>Store</th>
<th>Analyze</th>
<th>Share</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Crash related indicators</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Road related indicators</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vehicle related indicators</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Person related indicators</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- Do you store crash related data based on the indicators mentioned?
- How is this data collected and by who? If not, why is it not collected or what are concerns?
- Is the data being analyzed?
- Do you currently share the data? The analysis? Where and how is this shared? If not, could it be shared? If not, what are your concerns?
Thank You !!!!