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Introduction

- NEA countries have great potential in South-south and triangular cooperation in Africa.

NEA with southern and emerging contributors and traditional donors, China, South Korea, Japan.

The best target for NEA development cooperation is in Africa: CSJ engage with Africa very closely; Africa is rising; International zeal for engaging into Africa; CSJ historical and political disputes in EA.
NEA Engagement in Africa

- Key strategic interests
NEA Engagement in Africa

- Asian model and African Vision
  - Global power transition means group rising of emerging powers and small countries. The global economic turmoil facilitated the engagement between NEA and Africa.
  - Platforms building: FOCAC (5), TICAD (5), KAF (3)
  - Chinese Dream, African 2063 Vision; Post-2015 Agenda;
NEA Engagement in Africa

- Trade
- Million USD
NEA Engagement in Africa

- **Trade:** is it South-South driven?
- Perhaps not yet.
NEA Engagement in Africa

- **Investment**
- Million USD
NEA Engagement in Africa

- **Investment**

China has licensed 2950 companies to invest in Africa, with 631 national SOE, 2319 provincial and local SOE and private companies.
Development Cooperation Comparison

- **China**

- China provides assistance to 51 African countries and African Union.

- Mains forms of assistance: undertaking complete projects, providing goods and materials, conducting technical cooperation and human resources development cooperation, dispatching medical teams and volunteers, offering emergency humanitarian aid, and reducing or exempting the debts of the recipient countries.
Development Cooperation Comparison

- Chinese medical team to Africa
Development Cooperation Comparison

- Aid
- USD Billion

The graph compares the aid provided by Japan and Korea from 2001-2002 to 2011-2012, measured in USD Billion.
## Development Cooperation Comparison

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>2006</th>
<th>2009</th>
<th>2012</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>FOCAC</strong></td>
<td>Double assistance.</td>
<td>US$10 billion in concessional loans</td>
<td>USD$20 billion credit line</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>US$3 billion of preferential loans</td>
<td>US$1 billion special loan for SME products</td>
<td>African Talents Program</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>US$2 billion of preferential buyer’s credits</td>
<td>Human resources</td>
<td>Infrastructure partnership</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>China-Africa development fund</td>
<td></td>
<td>China-Africa people-to-people friendship action</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>AU Conference Centre</td>
<td></td>
<td>China-Africa Press Exchange Center</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Cancel debts</td>
<td></td>
<td>Initiative on China-Africa</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Economic cooperation zones</td>
<td></td>
<td>Cooperative Partnership for Peace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Human resource</td>
<td></td>
<td>and Security</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>KAF</strong></td>
<td>Tripling ODA</td>
<td>Double ODA</td>
<td>Increase ODA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MDGs</td>
<td>Exchange of volunteers, trainees and experts</td>
<td>Support African initiatives</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Human resource</td>
<td>MDGs</td>
<td>MDGs</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Korea-Africa Green Growth Initiative 2009-2012</td>
<td>Human resources</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TICAD</strong></td>
<td>Double ODA</td>
<td></td>
<td>3.2 trillion Yen including 1.4 trillion ODA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Infrastructure cooperation</td>
<td></td>
<td>Infrastructure development</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td></td>
<td>Peace and security</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Health care</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Human resource</td>
<td></td>
<td>Human resource</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Recent commitments

- **FOCAC**
  - Double assistance.
  - US$3 billion of preferential loans
  - US$2 billion of preferential buyer’s credits
  - China-Africa development fund
  - AU Conference Centre
  - Cancel debts
  - Economic cooperation zones
  - Human resource

- **KAF**
  - Tripling ODA
  - MDGs
  - Human resource

- **TICAD**
  - Double ODA
  - Infrastructure cooperation
  - Agriculture
  - Health care
  - Human resource
Development Cooperation Comparison

**Approaches**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Areas</th>
<th>Africa’s share</th>
<th>Conditionality</th>
<th>Forum</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>China</td>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>30-50%</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>FOCAC</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Agriculture</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Industry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Health and education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Human resource</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Peace and security</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Korea</td>
<td>Health and education</td>
<td>15%</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>KAF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rural development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ICT, industry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Governance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Infrastructure</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>TICAD</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Rural development</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Health and education</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>ICT, industry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Governance</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Human resource</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Challenges of SS&T Cooperation

• Different strategic and policy stands

• China: New Type of Global Development Partnership
  Africa needs, Africa agrees, Africa participates

• South Korea: multilateral aid and multi-bilateral aid.

• Japan: SS&T cooperation mainly under multilateral platforms.
Challenges of SS&T Cooperation

- Different development cooperation system
- African worries
- China-South Korea-Japan historical and political disputes
- External third parties’ worry.
Policy Prescriptions

• Policy principles:
  • Africa needs, Africa agrees, Africa participates (Non-interference principle);
  • International platforms as channel (No new platforms building);
  • Inclusive cooperation (No bilateral considerations behind);
  • Sustainable development promoting (Post-2015 Agenda and other international visions)
Policy Prescriptions

- Policy areas:
  - Experience exchange (models but not model);
  - Technical transfer and human resources training;
  - Infrastructure assistance;
  - Health care and medical assistance (Ebola international cooperation);
Policy prescriptions

- Policy coordination
- Think-tank forum and network building;
- Joint research and proposals;
- Researcher exchanges.
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