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1. The origin of the conflict: *Universality*
2012 East Asian Regional Consultation by UNDP

• Consultation to leaders of development-related research institutes in East Asia concerning HDR 2012, 2013 and key concepts of SDGs.

• A focal issue on SDGs: Whether SDGs should be “universal” or “developmental” (UNDP 2012).
  – MDGs were “developmental” because for the poor by the poor and the rich.
  – The question was if SDGs can be “universal” in the sense that for the poor and the rich.

• The delegate from the government of Japan pushed “universality” so that the Japanese nationals may directly benefit from efforts towards SDGs.
The Conflict embedded into SDGs

- 17 SDGs are an integration of sustainability and development.
- **Sustainability and development are not harmonious per se.** This is the reason why we need “sustainable development” which makes the two concerted.
- There is a risk that some parties favor a part of 17 goals over the rest.
  - For the Japanese, sustainability-related goals sound more familiar than those of poverty reduction.
    - Responses by the Japanese to Syrian refugees to Europe.
  - Technological implication: Japan may argue that it has comparative advantage in handling sustainability more than poverty.
The Universality Principle is against Poverty Reduction

- The concept of universality looks beautiful unless this is placed at the other side of “development-focus (poverty reduction)”.  
- Some efforts have been made to save the concept of universality (UNEP and UN OHCHR 2015).  
- North-East Asia Development Cooperation Forum should watch whether donors weaken focus to poverty reduction (philosophy of MDGs).
2. Japan’s shifts towards non-poor countries and non-poor issues
Japan’s Emphasis on “National Interests”

- Japan’s new Development Cooperation Charter, which took over ODA Charter in Feb. 2015, spells out “national interests” for the first time in charters on Japan’s cooperation.
- What symbolize alteration in wording: From ODA to Development Cooperation
  - Allowing security-related cooperation.
  - Admitting cooperation to middle/high income countries (Japan becomes near-sighted in distance).
  - Facilitating participations beyond ODA-related agents: Business; philanthropy; NGOs; local governments; peace keeping operation
  ➢ All dilute the focus of poverty reduction.
3. Final Remarks: What academia of North-East Asia should do

- To remind governments and people of seriousness of ongoing poverty and human rights violations.
  - A consequence is that North-East Asian academia must pay attention to conflict, forced migration and climate changes, which accentuate poverty.
  - These challenges are likely to take place far away from North-East Asia, so that people tend to avert eyes and to run into sweeter aspects such as win-win cooperation and burden sharing which pushes workload to non-public sectors.
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