Area 1. Qualitative inequality assessments for CRVS systems

Our experience on other qualitative assessment frameworks
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Background and context

PEOPLE NOT NUMBERS

- Diversity
- Durable
- Dislocated, displaced
- Disparate (urban/rural)
- Disempowered
- Discriminated
- Distrustful

Background Knowledge

Qualitative Assessment

Trusted Partners

Knowledge translation for impact
Background and context

The human-centred Design

References:
https://uxdesign.cc/is-human-centred-design-broken-cac130e0e48f
Bali Process Civil Registration Assessment Toolkit

- National & sub-national baseline data & statistics
- Law & policy (de jure)
- Multi-disciplinary literature review (de facto)
- Stakeholder mapping

Background Knowledge

Historical  Socio-Cultural  Structural  Critical
Country sample sites
Research ethics: Do No Harm (high risk research)
Capacity in-the-field collaborators
Authentic: participation of rights-holders
Research reciprocity & integrity
WHO is the focus of the research
Donor partnerships - *longitudinal* research
No methodological blue print – be mindful of the contextual
Recommendations

- Aims & Objectives: **WHO, WHAT** (birth marriage death)
- Cost-effective & efficient research
- Guidance from trusted partners (data collection)
- In-depth interviews over FGDs

Qualitative Assessment
No methodological blue print – be mindful of the contextual Recommendations

Six domains of enquiry for the qualitative assessment

- Birth
- Marriage
- Death/CoD

PWD, gender, children, minorities, de facto stateless

Qualitative Assessment