ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL SURVEY OF ASIA AND THE PACIFIC

2013

FORWARD-LOOKING MACROECONOMIC POLICIES

FOR INCLUSIVE AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT



ECONOMIC AND SOCIAL SURVEY OF ASIA AND THE PACIFIC 2013

FORWARD-LOOKING MACROECONOMIC POLICIES FOR INCLUSIVE AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

United Nations publication
Sales No. E.13.II.F.2
Copyright © United Nations 2013
All rights reserved
Printed in Bangkok
ISBN: 978-92-1-120655-5

e-ISBN: 978-92-1-056031-3

ISSN: 0252-5704 ST/ESCAP/2654

This publication may be reproduced in whole or in part for educational or non-profit purposes without special permission from the copyright holder, provided that the source is acknowledged. The ESCAP Publications Office would appreciate receiving a copy of any publication that uses this publication as a source.

No use may be made of this publication for resale or any other commercial purpose whatsoever without prior permission. Applications for such permission, with a statement of the purpose and extent of reproduction, should be addressed to the Secretary of the Publications Board, United Nations, New York.

FOREWORD



While the Asia-Pacific region has weathered the financial crisis better than many other parts of the world, there is an urgent need to adapt macroeconomic policies to address the challenges of sustainable development and assist the poorest and most vulnerable.

That means complementing the emphasis on growth with a focus on equality and rights, social development and environmental sustainability. Specifically, it requires investments to address inequality, shortages in energy and inadequate infrastructure.

At the High-level Plenary Meeting of the General Assembly on the Millennium Development Goals in 2010 and the Rio+20 United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development in 2012, world leaders pledged to adopt forward-looking macroeconomic policies that promote sustainable development and lead to sustained, inclusive and equitable economic growth.

Fortunately, many economies in the region are well-placed to implement such policies. The *Economic and Social Survey of Asia and the Pacific 2013* makes it clear that such investments are not only essential but also affordable.

These efforts are especially needed in Least Developed Countries, Landlocked Developing Countries and Small Island Developing States. As well as assisting the achievement of the Millennium Development Goals, greater progress will fuel confidence in, and mobilize support for, an ambitious post-2015 development agenda. The recommendations in this issue of the *Survey* seek to assist these countries to advance economically, socially and environmentally.

I hope the policy-makers of Asia and the Pacific, and beyond, find this publication useful for its innovative approach to achieving more resilient, inclusive and sustainable development.

Ki Mow Ban
BAN Ki-moon

Secretary-General of the United Nations

PREFACE



The *Economic and Social Survey of Asia and the Pacific* monitors regional progress, providing cutting-edge analyses and guiding policy discussion on the current and emerging socioeconomic issues and development challenges in the region since 1947. Its 2009 edition argued that the global economic and financial crisis could be turned into an opportunity to jump-start a regional reorientation towards a more inclusive, equitable and sustainable development path. While many Asian and Pacific countries started to take steps towards that goal, the recovery of economic growth since 2010, even if it is below the pre-crisis period, may dampen the sense of urgency about the need to reorient the region's development pattern.

The 2013 *Survey* reminds us that this is no time for complacency, as the need for a more inclusive and sustainable pattern of economic and social development continues to be critical. The *Survey* comes at a crossroad for Asia and the Pacific because of the tensions within the current development pattern of the region exposed by the ongoing crisis in the global economy, environmental fragilities, rapid demographic shifts and resource constraints.

As much as the region anchors the global economy, it is still home to more than 800 million people living in extreme poverty, 563 million people undernourished and more than 1 billion workers in vulnerable employment, while income and social inequality and economic insecurity continue to increase in many countries. In addition, the high resource-intensity of economic growth has caused a rapid rise in emissions of greenhouse gases and made countries increasingly vulnerable to commodity price shocks. The hundreds of millions left behind, along with the unsustainable pressures on natural resources, call – loud and clear – for a fundamental shift in the region's development journey.

The good news is that Asia and the Pacific has already started to rethink and reinvent itself. It is doing so by looking for new drivers of economic growth, closing development gaps and seeking to rebalance export-led growth with a greater reliance on domestic demand. It is also increasing spending on health, education, social protection and disaster management and it is addressing deficits in infrastructure and sustainability, including through low carbon and green economy policies. These

efforts should be supported, enhanced and propagated throughout all countries in the region. However, a major concern of policymakers about implementing a new, bold agenda is how much will it cost.

The main contribution of this edition of the *Survey* is to provide an answer to that important concern. It does so by estimating the required public expenditures for an illustrative package of policies to promote inclusive and sustainable development in a number of Asia-Pacific countries. The package includes the provision of an employment guarantee for 100 days a year, basic social services in education and health, income security to older persons and persons with disabilities and ensuring efficient energy for all.

The results are highly encouraging. They show that most countries can finance such a package without jeopardizing macroeconomic stability, although least developed countries would also require global partnership and development cooperation. To be sure, the package proposed in the 2013 *Survey* is just an illustrative example, and the details of the calculations deserve to be further discussed and refined. The purpose of this exercise is to move forward the regional development agenda from the discussion of the future we *want* to the means of implementation and financing to *realize* that future.

The package of policies discussed in this edition of the *Survey* not only illustrates the feasibility of taking decisive action towards inclusive and sustainable development in Asia and the Pacific but also highlights the importance of macroeconomic policies for this purpose. The dominant macroeconomic policy paradigm since the early 1980s has been too restrictive and not geared towards a great leap forward to inclusive and sustainable development. In the light of the region's high degree of economic insecurity, large development and infrastructure gaps and heightened environmental fragility along with extreme exposure to climate change-related risks, it is necessary to better balance the stabilization and developmental roles of macroeconomic policies. Macroeconomic policies could and should be forward-looking in order to play a key role in the region's next great transition to inclusive, resilient, equitable and sustainable development.

We hope that this document will stimulate policy debates among government officials, researchers, development partners and the general public of Asia and the Pacific, and that it will contribute to fostering a more inclusive and sustainable development in the region.

Noeleen Heyzer

Noth

Under-Secretary-General of the United Nations and Executive Secretary, United Nations Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

FORWARD-LOOKING MACROECONOMIC POLICIES FOR INCLUSIVE AND SUSTAINABLE DEVELOPMENT

Economic growth in the developing countries of Asia and the Pacific slowed to 5.6% in 2012 as a result of the double-dip recession in the euro zone and the tepid recovery of the U.S. economy. Although growth is projected to inch up to 6% in 2013, this rate is still below the average of 7.8% achieved in 2010-2011 and the average of 8.6% observed during the pre-crisis period of 2002-2007. More importantly, the extent to which the region's economic growth is contributing to the achievement of key development goals remains unclear.

Despite a significant reduction in poverty, the region is still home to more than 800 million poor struggling to survive on an income of less than \$1.25-a-day. This figure represents nearly two-thirds of the world's poor. Notably, in many countries in the region, including the most populous ones, rapid growth in income since the 1990s has been accompanied by increases in income inequality. In addition, the high resource-intensity of economic growth has spurred a rapid rise in emissions of greenhouse gases and made countries increasingly vulnerable to commodity price shocks, while key natural resources, such as forest covers, fisheries and fresh water, have been overexploited.

Economic insecurity has also risen amid rapid growth. More than 1 billion workers in the region are in vulnerable employment – characterized by low wages, no benefits, no job security and difficult conditions of work that undermine workers' fundamental rights. More than 900 million people in the region live just at the edge of extreme poverty on an income of between \$1.25-a-day and \$2-a-day, with the risk of a small shock or personal misfortune pushing them into extreme poverty in the absence of a comprehensive social protection floor.

Food security is also a major problem in Asia and the Pacific, with an estimated 563 million people undernourished. Economic insecurity and vulnerability are exacerbated by increasingly damaging natural disasters, which many believe are related to climate change and environmental degradation. Notably, during the period 1970-2010, the average number of people exposed to yearly flooding in Asia more than doubled from 29.5 million to 63.8 million while the population residing in cyclone-prone areas increased from 71.8 million to 120.7 million. In sum, despite the region's rapid economic growth, hundreds of millions of people continue to be highly vulnerable and insecure. Economic expansion has not been inclusive enough and has not translated into increased security of jobs and livelihoods. Instead, growth has been mostly jobless, that is without a commensurate growth of decent and productive employment in the formal sector. As a result, livelihood insecurity and disparities of opportunities and outcomes, including income, assets and wealth, are on the rise and reinforcing one another.

These trends, however, are not inevitable. The historical experience of successful economies in the Asia-Pacific region shows that rapid economic growth is not incompatible with a broad-based dissemination of opportunities for progress across the population, as elaborated in chapter 3. Enhancing the resilience of peoples' livelihoods and the inclusiveness of the development process is possible and must be a priority of the development agenda beyond 2015 for the Asia-Pacific region. This edition of the *Survey* argues that macroeconomic policies, especially fiscal policies, could and should play an instrumental role in achieving this priority.

Making development more inclusive and sustainable can help support growth

Inclusive and sustainable development can contribute to supporting broad-based economic growth in the region by stimulating domestic sources of aggregate demand, which can have beneficial spillover effects across the region through trade. This edition of the *Survey* estimates that the effort of China to rebalance its economy towards a more pro-poor and consumption-led pattern of development could spur an additional \$13 billion worth of exports from other countries in the region during the period 2013-2015, representing an additional 0.5 of a percentage point to the region's rate of growth of exports.

The setting of minimum wages is another policy that can contribute to both addressing rising income disparities and supporting aggregate demand. The *Survey* argues that a minimum wage policy, if it is designed carefully and contains supportive adjustment measures, boosts workers' productivity and income and improves long-term job prospects without adversely affecting businesses. For example, it is estimated that the recent increases in minimum wage in Thailand could increase employment growth by up to 0.6 of a percentage point and real GDP growth by 0.7 of a percentage point by 2015 compared to a baseline scenario of no minimum wage increase.

In addition to demand policies, making the development process more inclusive and sustainable calls for supply-side policies to remove structural impediments to growth such as energy shortages and inadequate infrastructure. Supply-side policies aimed at reducing the carbon intensity of growth are also needed to minimize adverse impacts of economic activity on natural resources and commodity prices. The agricultural sector plays a fundamental role as a producer of food and employer of approximately 60% of the working population in the region, including the majority of the poor. After decades of neglect, the sector deserves special attention, especially in the light of challenges emanating from a growing population and an increased incidence and intensity of extreme weather events.

The implementation of both demand-side and supply-side policies towards inclusive and sustainable development relies fundamentally on the ability of States to allocate public spending – and to create a conducive environment for private investments – in key economic and social sectors of the economy. This requires broad-based forward-looking macroeconomic policies that balance stabilization and development needs.

The role of macroeconomic policies

The dominant macroeconomic policy paradigm since the early 1980s has emphasized stabilization in the narrow sense of keeping inflation at a very low single-digit level and achieving a primary budget surplus or a very low deficit-to-GDP ratio. In developing countries, there often has been a trade-off between achieving such stabilization targets and broader development objectives. Many countries have achieved them at the cost of development, for example, by cutting public investment in key areas and expenditures

on education and health. Indebted countries in the euro zone are also prioritizing fiscal austerity at an enormous economic and social cost associated with high unemployment.

While keeping inflation and the fiscal accounts under control are important objectives of macroeconomic policy, disregarding important development objectives could be highly detrimental for an economy's long-term prospects. In the light of the extensive development challenges of Asia and the Pacific associated with the region's high degree of economic insecurity, large development gaps, significant infrastructure shortages and unsustainable environmental impacts, there is clearly a need to balance the stabilization and developmental roles of macroeconomic policies.

Such balance could entail changing the way fiscal and monetary policies are designed and implemented, and how issues pertaining to public debt or inflation are viewed. In particular, as argued in previous editions of the *Survey*, there has to be greater emphasis on the quality and composition of public expenditure, rather than on aggregate budget deficits and public debts. The present *Survey* further develops this theme by providing estimates of the required public investment for a set of policies to enhance the region's resilience and inclusiveness in selected countries. These policies include the provision of an employment guarantee for a limited number of days (100 days) in a year, basic social services in education and health, income security to older persons and persons with disabilities and ensuring energy for all by 2030.

These policies are examples of forward-looking macroeconomic policies because they can promote sustainable development and lead to sustained, inclusive and equitable economic growth. The importance of forward-looking macroeconomic policies has been recognized in key United Nations documents, such as the outcome document of United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development (Rio+20), which was held in Rio de Janeiro, Brazil, from 20 to 22 June 2012. It is expected that the contents of the current *Survey* will contribute to policy debates about how to realize the goal of inclusive and sustainable development in the Asia-Pacific region.

Prospects for 2013

As indicated above, economic growth in the developing Asia-Pacific economies is expected to increase slightly to 6% in 2013 from 5.6% in 2012. The increase is partly due to an expected improvement in global demand arising from steady, although subpar, growth in the United States and a limited rebound in the performance of major emerging economies. The two regional giants, China and India, are expected to rebound somewhat from a slowdown in 2012. China is expected to grow by 8% in 2013, slightly up from 7.8% in 2012, while India is expected to recover from its relatively low 5% growth in 2012 to 6.4% in 2013. Somewhat improved global trade is expected to support growth in export-led economies, such as the Republic of Korea (from 2% in 2012 to 2.3% in 2013), Hong Kong, China (from 1.4% to 3.5%) and Singapore (from 1.3% to 3%).

Growth in North and Central Asia is likely to remain stable, as the subregion continues to benefit from high global energy prices and sustained growth in the Russian Federation (3.4% in 2012 and 3.6% in 2013). In South and South-West Asia, four countries in addition to India – Afghanistan, Bangladesh, Bhutan and Sri Lanka – are projected to grow by 6% or more in 2013. In contrast, most Pacific island developing economies are expected to experience slower growth in 2013. For example, the rate of growth in Papua New Guinea is expected to drop to 4% in 2013 from 9.2% in 2012 as a result of the winding down of a large liquefied natural gas construction project.

Investing in inclusive and sustainable development

The current issue of the *Survey* estimates, as an illustrative example, the public investment needs to deliver a package of policies to promote inclusive and sustainable development in 10 Asia-Pacific countries: Bangladesh, China, Fiji, India, Indonesia, Malaysia, Philippines, Russian Federation, Thailand and Turkey. The package includes the following six elements:

- A job guarantee programme that is available to all participants in the informal sector for 100 days per year and pays benefits equivalent to the national poverty line;
- A universal, non-contributory pension for all aged 65 or older valued at the national poverty line;
- Benefits to all persons with disabilities between the ages of 15 and 65 equivalent to the national poverty line;
- Increasing the share of public health expenditures of GDP to 5% by 2030;
- · Universal enrolment in primary education by 2020 and in secondary education by 2030; and
- Three energy goals to be achieved by 2030: (i) universal access to modern energy services, (ii) doubling
 the global rate of improvement in energy efficiency, and (iii) doubling the share of renewable energy in the
 global energy mix.

The overall investment requirements to implement such a policy package vary across countries, with median values of 5.8% of GDP by 2020 and 8.2% of GDP by 2030. Most of the increase between 2020 and 2030 is due to health expenses – as they are assumed to rise gradually until 2030 – and pensions – due to the increase in the share of the population aged 65 and above. In the case of China, the cost of the package is projected to reach 3.3% of GDP in 2020 and 5.2% of GDP by 2030. For other countries, such as India, Indonesia, Malaysia, the Russian Federation, Thailand, Turkey and Viet Nam, the numbers are projected to vary between 4.7% and 9.8%. While these amounts are not trivial, they are affordable. Because of the low tax revenue-to-GDP ratios prevailing in the region, measures such as broadening tax bases, making tax structures more progressive, improving the efficiency of tax administration and tightening regulations on tax havens could raise the required financing. The cost of the package is projected to exceed 10% of GDP by 2030 only in Fiji (13%) and Bangladesh (22%). This suggests that economies with special needs, such as small island developing states and least developed countries, will need significant external assistance from development partners to complement their domestic resource mobilization efforts.

In addition, a long-term macroeconomic simulation exercise shows that governments can pursue inclusive and sustainable development while maintaining fiscal sustainability and price stability at the same time. This suggests that there is not necessarily a tradeoff between economic growth, social development and environmental sustainability. The three pillars of sustainable development can support and strengthen each other, thus challenging the "grow first" paradigm.

This is very encouraging. It vindicates the importance of rethinking and adopting broad-based forward-looking macroeconomic policies for a win-win development for both people and the planet as recognized by the world leaders at the 2010 Millennium Development Goals Summit and again at the Rio+20 conference in 2012.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This report was prepared under the overall direction and guidance of Noeleen Heyzer, Under-Secretary-General of the United Nations and Executive Secretary of the Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific (ESCAP), and under the substantive direction of Anisuzzaman Chowdhury, Director of the Macroeconomic Policy and Development Division. The core team led by Aynul Hasan, included Shuvojit Banerjee, Sudip Ranjan Basu, Alberto Isgut, Daniel Jeongdae Lee, Muhammad Hussain Malik, Oliver Paddison, Vatcharin Sirimaneetham, Yusuke Tateno and Marin Yari. Others who contributed from the Division were Syed Nuruzzaman, Katinka Weinberger, Clovis Freire, Nobuko Kajiura, Naylin Oo and Upali Wickramasinghe.

ESCAP staff who contributed substantively include: Rae Kwon Chung (Director) and Hitomi Rankine of the Environment and Development Division; Shamika N. Sirimanne (Director), Shaina Hasan and Sanjay Kumar Srivastava of the Information and Communications Technology and Disaster Risk Reduction Division; Nanda Krairiksh (Director), Patrik Andersson, Derek Atkinson, Ksenia Glebova, Chol O Han, Beverly Jones, Manuel Mejido and Ermina Sokou of the Social Development Division; Haishan Fu (Director), Eric Hermouet and Harumi Shibata of the Statistics Division; Ravi Ratnayake (Director), Masato Abe, Witada Anukoonwattaka, Yann Duval, Mia Mikic and Heini Amanda Salonen of the Trade and Investment Division; Dong-Woo Ha (Director) of the Transport Division; Iosefa Maiava (Director), Shaswat Sapkota and David Smith of the ESCAP Pacific Office; Kilaparti Ramakrishna (Director), Andrea Goldstein, Yejin Ha and Yuko Kitada of the ESCAP Subregional Office for East and North-East Asia; Nikolay Pomoshchnikov (Director) and Elvira Mynbayeva of the ESCAP Subregional Office for North and Central Asia; and Nagesh Kumar (Chief Economist, ESCAP, and Director), Chris Garroway and Matthew Hammill of the ESCAP Subregional Office for South and South-West Asia.

Valuable advice, comments and inputs were received from many staff of the United Nations which include: Jajoon Coue, Sukti Dasgupta, Phu Huynh, Malte Luebker, Valerie Schmitt and Marko Stermsek of the International Labour Organization; Grigor Agabekian, Pingfan Hong, Pierre Kohler, Hung-Yi Li, Ingo Pitterle, Sebastian Vergara and John Winkel of the Department of Economic and Social Affairs, United Nations, New York; Dominik Horneber of the United Nations Children's Fund, East Asia and Pacific Regional Office; and Bishwa Tiwari of the United Nations Development Programme, Asia-Pacific Regional Centre.

The following consultants provided inputs: Steven Ayres; C.P. Chandrasekhar and Jayati Ghosh, Professors of Economics, Jawaharlal Nehru University, India; Jamshid Pajooyan, Professor of Economics, Allame Tabatabaee University, the Islamic Republic of Iran; Ahmed Muzaffar, University of Western Sydney, Australia; and Mahesh C. Uniyal. We also would like to acknowledge the suggestions and data provided by Heinz Schandl and Jim West from the Commonwealth Scientific and Industrial Research Organisation.

The report benefited from extensive comments and suggestions from an eminent group of policymakers, scholars and development practitioners, acting as external peer reviewers, namely: Salehuddin Ahmed, Professor of Economics, North South University, Bangladesh; Amiya Kumar Bagchi, Emeritus Professor,

Institute of Development Studies, Kolkata, India; Bakhodur Eshonov, Director, Center for Economic Research, Uzbekistan; Iyanatul Islam, Chief, Country Employment Policy Unit, International Labour Organization, Geneva; P.N. (Raja) Junankar, Emeritus Professor, University of Western Sydney, Australia; Saman Kelegama, Executive Director, Institute of Policy Studies, Sri Lanka; Ashfaque H. Khan, Principal and Dean, NUST Business School, Pakistan; Tagir Khuziyatov, Deputy Vice-President for International Affairs, Far Eastern Federal University, Russian Federation; Kyungsoo Kim, Professor of Economics, Sungkyunkwan University, Republic of Korea; Joseph Anthony Lim, Professor of Economics, Ateneo de Manila University, Philippines; Biman C. Prasad, Professor of Economics and Chair, University of the South Pacific, Fiji; Pisit Puapan, Director of Macroeconomic Analysis Division, Fiscal Policy Office, Ministry of Finance, Thailand; Salim Rashid, Emeritus Professor, University of Illinois, United States of America; Hermanto Siregar, Vice Rector, Bogor Agricultural University, Indonesia; Vo Tri Thanh, Vice President, Central Institute for Economic Management, Viet Nam; and Shunli Yao, Director, Institute for Applied International Trade, China.

Achara Jantarasaengaram, Pannipa Ongwisedpaiboon, Kiatkanid Pongpanich and Amornrut Supornsinchai of the Macroeconomic Policy and Development Division, ESCAP, provided research assistance.

The manuscript was edited by Orestes Plasencia, Alan Cooper and John Loftus, Editorial Unit of ESCAP. The graphic design was created by Marie Ange Sylvain-Holmgren, and the layout and printing were provided by Clung Wicha Press.

Sutinee Yeamkitpibul, supported by Patchara Arunsuwannakorn, Arpaporn Chomcherngpat, Metinee Hunkosol, Anong Pattanathanes, Woranut Sompitayanurak and Woranooch Thiusathien of the Macroeconomic Policy and Development Division proofread the manuscript and undertook all administrative processing necessary for the issuance and launch of the publication.

Francyne Harrigan, Bentley Jenson and Chavalit Boonthanom of the United Nations ESCAP Strategic Communications and Advocacy Section, Bangkok, coordinated the launch and dissemination of the report.

CONTENTS

Foreword	
Preface	
Executive Su	mmary
Acknowledge	ments
Explanatory	notes
Abbreviations	5
Sources of o	juotations
Introduction:	why forward-looking macroeconomic policies for inclusive and sustainable development in Asia and the Pacific?
Chapter 1. T	he state of inclusive and sustainable development in uncertain times
	Heightened vulnerabilities and policy challenges
	Uncertain global environment requires vigilance Weak economic environment heightens labour market vulnerabilities Food and fuel price pressures despite slowing headline inflation Macroeconomic instability heightening through short term capital inflows Trade performance under threat Slowdown in China affects the region Foreign investment declined, especially in least developed countries Aid commitments to least developed countries not met Remittances offering a complementary source of resilience Outlook for Asia and the Pacific in 2013 Moderate growth increase forecast in 2013 Modest inflation forecast for 2013
	Downside risks
	Structural impediments to continued progress
	Growing inequality is threatening shared prosperity and constraining domestic markets Lack of progressivity in tax structure and insufficient public provisioning contributing to inequality Low tax revenues constrain ability for countercyclical response and
	public investment

CONTENTS (continued)

	Increased disasters costing lives and development
	Policy options
	Supporting growth and achieving inclusive and sustainable development Debt, inflation and fiscal sustainability Regional cooperation for addressing infrastructure deficits
Chapter 2.	Macroeconomic performance and policy challenges at the subregional
	level
	Diversity in performance across subregions
	East and North-East Asia
	Growth slows as the global economy weakens again Inflation slows but volatile food and fuel prices continue to affect households Monetary policy stance supportive of growth
	Adequate fiscal space in most economies provides the flexibility to consider stimulus
	Current account remains in surplus
	Net exports remain supportive but intraregional trade growth weakens
	Future outlook and policy challenges
	North and Central Asia
	Growth slows across the subregion Inflation eases during the first half of 2012 but subsequently picks up Deterioration in fiscal balances Central banks face a policy dilemma between supporting growth and taming inflation Moderate export growth deteriorates current account balances Future outlook and policy challenges
	Pacific
	Pacific island developing economies:
	Growth slows

CONTENTS (continued)

Australia and New Zealand:	
Growth performance improved steadily	
Moderate inflationary pressures	
Subdued global economic growth and strong currencies	
weigh down exports Fiscal policy remains supportive of economic growth	
Monetary policy eased	
Economic outlook is favourable but risks are tilted to the	
South and South-West Asia	
Growth slows due to both external and domestic factors . High inflation persists	
Monetary policy needs to strike a balance between curbin	
expectations and reviving growth	•
Budget deficits continue to remain high	
Widening current account deficits	
Future outlook and policy challenges	
South-East Asia	
Growth accelerates, led by robust domestic demand and Thailand's rebound	
Inflation softens as pressure from food and fuel prices su	
Active fiscal policy helps boost domestic demand	
Monetary policy supports growth while curbing short-term	
Current account surpluses narrow but FDI and remittance	es
remain strong; portfolio flows volatile	
Future outlook and policy challenges	
apter 3. Developmental macroeconomics: the critical role of publi	c expenditure
Shifts in the macroeconomic policy paradigm	
Need to bring back the balance: stabilization is necessary	but not sufficient
Fiscal policy: composition of government expenditure doe	es matter
Monetary policy: moderate inflation can help economic gr	
Financial policy: prudential regulation and financial inclus	
Exchange rates: an instrument for structural change	
Capital flows: managing enhances policy space and mitig	
sector fragility	

CONTENTS (continued)

	Page
Keys areas of inclusive and sustainable development	157
Employment for all	159
Income security for the elderly	161
Income security for persons with disabilities	162
Health for all	163
Education for all	166
Energy access for all	167
Concluding remarks	170
Chapter 4. Investing in inclusive and sustainable development	175
Providing employment for all	176
Providing income security for the elderly	179
Providing income security for persons with disabilities	181
Providing health for all	181
Providing education for all	184
Providing energy access for all	185
Investment and expenditure for inclusive and sustainable development	191
Macroeconomic implications	193
Debt sustainability	195
Price stability	195
Concluding remarks	199
Annex – methodology	201
References	207
Statistical annex	221

BOXES

A.	Forward-looking macroeconomic policies
B.	Mainstreaming social and environmental pillars for inclusive and sustainable development
C.	Asian financial crisis despite macroeconomic stability
D.	Stimulus packages and social protection
1.1.	How has policy uncertainty in the euro zone and United States affected Asia and the Pacific?
1.2.	Recent minimum wage policies boosting inclusive growth
1.3.	The impact of a rebalancing China on Asia-Pacific economies
1.4.	"New normal" of lower growth being witnessed in Asia-Pacific
1.5.	Lowering trade costs to spur South-South integration
1.6.	Synergizing action on economic, social and environmental fronts to address critical risks
2.1.	Resource allocation for social equality and inclusiveness: the experience with gender-responsive budgeting
2.2.	Recognizing economic contributions of migrant workers to host countries
2.3.	Youth unemployment in the Pacific island developing countries
2.4.	South Asia: demographic dividend or deficit?
2.5.	Is human capital converging within ASEAN?
3.1.	Rewriting the macroeconomists' playbook in the wake of the crisis
3.2.	Weak empirical evidence supporting conventional macroeconomic policies
3.3.	Designing fiscal policy for growth and development
3.4.	Central banks as agents of development
3.5.	Unorthodox policies and structural change in Asia and the Pacific: the experience of Singapore and the Republic of Korea
4.1.	Programmes to foster employment
4.2.	The WHO Health Financing Strategy for the Asia-Pacific region
4.3.	Selected best practices and good examples on education policy
4.4.	Energy policy in Nepal

FIGURES

A.	An integrated framework
B.	"Sound" macroeconomic indicators before the crisis
1.1.	Real quarterly GDP growth of major developed economies, year-on-year, 2007-2012
1.2.	Total and youth unemployment rates in selected Asia-Pacific economies, latest available data
1.3.	Informal employment as a share of non-agricultural employment in selected developing Asia-Pacific economies, latest available data
1.4.	Labour productivity: GDP per person employed in selected Asia-Pacific economies
1.5.	Oil price, FAO food price index and consumer price inflation (year-on-year) in selected developing Asia-Pacific economies, 2007-February 2013
1.6.	Policy rates in selected developing Asia-Pacific economies, 2010-February 2013
1.7.	Asset responses after quantitative easing implementation announcements, percentage change
1.8.	Fluctuations in equities in developing Asian economies since QE3, MSCI Asia excl. Japan equities index
1.9.	Fluctuations in selected developing Asia-Pacific currencies following announcement of QE3, monthly percentage change
1.10.	Foreign reserves in selected developing Asia-Pacific economies, 2009-February 2013
1.11.	Vulnerability yardstick as a percentage of foreign reserves in selected developing Asia-Pacific economies
1.12.	Recent developments in Asia-Pacific export growth
1.13.	Recent developments in Asia-Pacific import growth
1.14.	Monthly export growth in China by custom type, 2010-2012
1.15.	Monthly import growth of China by custom type, 2010-2012
1.16.	FDI inflow by regions, 2010-2012
1.17.	Remittance inflows in selected Asia-Pacific economies, values and shares of GDP
1.18.	Real GDP growth by regions of the world, 2012-2013
1.19.	Income inequality in selected developing Asia-Pacific economies, 1990s and latest available data

FIGURES (continued)

1.20.	Inequality-adjusted GDP per capita and Index of Social Development, latest available data
1.21.	Tax-to-GDP ratio in selected developing Asia-Pacific economies
1.22.	General government taxes and inequality in selected global and Asia-Pacific economies
1.23.	General government social expenditures and inequality in selected global and Asia-Pacific economies
1.24.	Public social security benefit expenditure (excluding health care) in selected Asia-Pacific economies, latest available data
1.25.	Domestic material consumption intensity, Asia and the Pacific, its subregions and the world, 1992 and 2008
1.26.	Per capita domestic material consumption, Asia and the Pacific, its subregions and the world, 1992 and 2008
1.27.	Water intensity, Asia and the Pacific and its subregions, 2000
1.28.	Physical trade balances in Asia-Pacific subregions, 1975, 1990 and 2005
1.29.	The profile of economic damages due to disasters in Asia, 1980-October 2012
2.1.	Inflation in selected East and North-East Asian economies, 2010-2012
2.2.	Budget balance in selected East and North-East Asian economies, 2010-2012
2.3.	Current account balance in selected East and North-East Asian economies, 2010-2012
2.4.	Inflation in North and Central Asian economies, 2010-2012
2.5.	Budget balance in North and Central Asian economies, 2010-2012
2.6.	Current account balance in North and Central Asian economies, 2010-2012
2.7.	Inflation in selected Pacific island developing economies, 2010-2012
2.8.	Budget balance in selected Pacific island developing economies, 2010-2012
2.9.	Current account balance in selected Pacific island developing economies, 2010-2012
2.10.	Economic growth of Australia and New Zealand, 2010-2013
2.11.	Inflation in selected South and South-West Asian economies, 2010-2012
2.12.	Budget balance in selected South and South-West Asian economies, 2010-2012
2.13.	Current account balance in selected South and South-West Asian economies, 2010-2012
2.14.	Inflation in South-East Asian economies, 2010-2012

FIGURES (continued)

2.15.	Budget balance in selected South-East Asian economies, 2010-2012
2.16.	Current account balance in selected South-East Asian economies, 2010-2012
3.1.	Procurement expenditures in selected econoomies, 2010
3.2.	Inflation and growth in selected Asia-Pacific countries, 1961-2010
3.3.	Social protection spending versus human development in the Asia-Pacific region
3.4.	Share of labour force and of population aged 15-64 years covered by pension systems, 2007
3.5.	Households impoverished as a result of catastrophic out-of-pocket expenditures
3.6.	Catastrophic and total health expenditure
3.7.	Gross and net enrolment rates in secondary education, latest available data
3.8.	Percentage of population without access to electricity and modern cooking fuels, latest available data
3.9.	Development and universal access to energy services, latest available data
3.10.	Primary energy use in Asia and the Pacific and the rest of world, 1990-2008
4.1.	Poverty line relative to GDP per capita and income per capita, latest available data
4.2.	Informal unemployment as percentage of total labour force, latest available data
4.3.	Expenditure to provide a job guarantee programme
4.4.	Expenditure requirement of a universal non-contributory pension
4.5.	Expenditure for disability payments to persons with disabilities, aged 15-65 years
4.6.	Expenditure for universal health coverage and annual percentage increase
4.7.	Expenditure to reach universal primary and secondary enrolment
4.8.	Investment required for energy access for all
4.9.	Total investment and expenditure for proposed policy package
4.10.	Relationship between government expenditures and GDP per capita, 2005-2007
4.11.	Relationship between government revenues and GDP per capita, 2005-2007
4.12.	Public debt
4.13.	Average real GDP growth, different simulation scenarios, 2013-2030
4 14	Annual change in GDP deflator

TABLES

1.1.	Main destination economies for Asia-Pacific intraregional greenfield FDI flows, their main sources and share of total flows, 2009-2011
1.2.	Selected economies of the ESCAP region: rates of economic growth and inflation, 2009-2013
1.3.	Comparative Indicators of Infrastructure in East Asia and the Pacific and in South Asia, latest available data
1.4.	Comparative Indicators of Infrastructure in selected Asia-Pacific economies, latest available data
2.1.	Rates of economic growth and inflation in selected East and North-East Asian economies, 2011-2013
2.2.	Rates of economic growth and inflation in North and Central Asian economies, 2011-2013
2.3.	Rates of economic growth and inflation in selected economies in the Pacific, 2011-2013
2.4.	Rates of economic growth and inflation in South and South-West Asian economies, 2011-2013
2.5.	Rates of economic growth and inflation in South-East Asian economies, 2011-2013
3.1.	Average annual real GDP growth and inflation rates, 1950-2010
3.2.	Thresholds beyond which inflation is harmful for growth
3.3.	Informal employment and employment in agriculture in selected countries, latest available data
3.4.	Proportion of older persons (aged 60+) in the group of persons with disabilities
3.5.	Returns to investment in education by level
4.1.	General Government expenditure and revenue
4.2.	Estimated prevalence of severe disability (%) by region
4.3.	Assumed magnitude of changes in macroeconomic variables under different scenarios

EXPLANATORY NOTES

Analyses in the *Economic and Social Survey of Asia and the Pacific 2013* are based on data and information available up to the end of March of 2013.

Groupings of countries and territories/areas referred to in the present issue of the Survey are defined as follows:

- ESCAP region: Afghanistan; American Samoa; Armenia; Australia; Azerbaijan; Bangladesh; Bhutan; Brunei Darussalam; Cambodia; China; Cook Islands; Democratic People's Republic of Korea; Fiji; French Polynesia; Georgia; Guam; Hong Kong, China; India; Indonesia; Iran (Islamic Republic of); Japan; Kazakhstan; Kiribati; Kyrgyzstan; Lao People's Democratic Republic; Macao, China; Malaysia; Maldives; Marshall Islands; Micronesia (Federated States of); Mongolia; Myanmar; Nauru; Nepal; New Caledonia; New Zealand; Niue; Northern Mariana Islands; Pakistan; Palau; Papua New Guinea; Philippines; Republic of Korea; Russian Federation; Samoa; Singapore; Solomon Islands; Sri Lanka; Tajikistan; Thailand; Timor-Leste; Tonga; Turkey; Turkmenistan; Tuvalu; Uzbekistan; Vanuatu; and Viet Nam
- · Developing ESCAP region: ESCAP region excluding Australia, Japan and New Zealand
- · Developed ESCAP region: Australia, Japan and New Zealand
- Least developed countries: Afghanistan, Bangladesh, Bhutan, Cambodia, Kiribati, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Myanmar, Nepal, Samoa, Solomon Islands, Timor-Leste, Tuvalu and Vanuatu
- Landlocked developing countries: Afghanistan, Armenia, Azerbaijan, Bhutan, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Mongolia, Nepal, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan and Uzbekistan
- East and North-East Asia: China; Democratic People's Republic of Korea; Japan; Hong Kong, China; Macao, China; Mongolia;
 and Republic of Korea
- North and Central Asia: Armenia, Azerbaijan, Georgia, Kazakhstan, Kyrgyzstan, Russian Federation, Tajikistan, Turkmenistan
- Pacific: American Samoa, Australia, Cook Islands, Fiji, French Polynesia, Guam, Kiribati, Marshall Islands, Micronesia (Federated States of), Nauru, New Caledonia, New Zealand, Niue, Northern Marina Islands, Palau, Papua New Guinea, Samoa, Solomon Islands, Tonga, Tuvalu and Vanuatu
- · Pacific island developing economies: Pacific excluding Australia and New Zealand
- Small island developing states: Cook Islands, Fiji, Kiribati, Maldives, Marshall Islands, Micronesia (Federated States of),
 Nauru, Niue, Palau, Papua New Guinea, Samoa, Solomon Islands, Timor-Leste, Tonga, Tuvalu and Vanuatu
- South and South-West Asia: Afghanistan, Bangladesh, Bhutan, India, Islamic Republic of Iran, Maldives, Nepal, Pakistan, Sri Lanka, and Turkey
- South-East Asia: Brunei Darussalam, Cambodia, Indonesia, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Malaysia, Myanmar, Philippines,
 Singapore, Thailand, Timor-Leste, and Viet Nam

Bibliographical and other references have, wherever possible, been verified. The United Nations bears no responsibility for the functioning of links to uniform resource locators (URLs) contained in bibliographical or other references to the work of external organizations.

The designations employed and the presentation of the material in this publication do not imply the expression of any opinion whatsoever on the part of the Secretariat of the United Nations concerning the legal status of any country, territory, city or area, or of its authorities, or concerning the delimitation of its frontiers or boundaries.

Mention of firm names and commercial products does not imply the endorsement of the United Nations.

Many figures used in the Survey are on a fiscal year basis and are assigned to the calendar year which covers the major part or second half of the fiscal year.

Growth rates are on an annual basis, except where indicated otherwise.

Reference to "tons" indicates metric tons.

References to dollars (\$) are to United States dollars, unless otherwise stated.

The term "billion" signifies a thousand million. The term "trillion" signifies a million million

In the tables, two dots (..) indicate that data are not available or are not separately reported; a dash (-) indicates that the amount is nil or negligible; and a blank indicates that the item is not applicable.

In dates, a hyphen (-) is used to signify the full period involved, including the beginning and end years, and a stroke (/) indicates a crop year, fiscal year or plan year. The ISO codes, fiscal years, currencies and exchange rates as of 31 December 2012 of the economies in the ESCAP region are listed in the following table:

Country or area in the ESCAP region	ISO Alpha-3 code	Fiscal year	Currency and abbreviation	Rate of exchange for \$1 as of 31 December 2012
Afghanistan	AFG	22 December to 21 December	afghani (Af)	53.03ª
American Samoa	ASM		United States dollar (\$)	1.00
Armenia	ARM	1 January to 31 December	dram	403.58
Australia	AUS	1 July to 30 June	Australian dollar (\$A)	0.96
Azerbaijan	AZE	1 January to 31 December	Azerbaijan manat (AZM)	0.79
Bangladesh	BGD	1 July to 30 June	taka (Tk)	79.85
Bhutan	BTN	1 July to 30 June	ngultrum (Nu)	54.78
Brunei Darussalam	BRN	1 January to 31 December	Brunei dollar (B\$)	1.22
Cambodia	KHM	1 January to 31 December	riel (CR)	3 992.00ª
China	CHN	1 January to 31 December	yuan (Y)	6.29
Cook Islands	COK	1 April to 31 March	New Zealand dollar (\$NZ)	1.22
Democratic People's Republic of	PRK		won (W)	98.95
Korea	FJI	1 January to 21 December	Fiii dollar (E¢)	1.79
FijiFiji Polynesia	PYF	1 January to 31 December	Fiji dollar (F\$) French Pacific Community franc (FCFP)	90.44
Georgia	GEO	1 January to 31 December	lari (L)	1.66
Guam	GUM	1 October to 30 September	United States dollar (\$)	1.00
	HKG	1 April to 31 March	Hong Kong dollar (HK\$)	7.75
Hong Kong, ChinaIndia	IND	1 April to 31 March	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	54.78
	IDN		Indian rupee (Rs)	9 670.00
Indonesia	IRN	1 April to 31 March 21 March to 20 March	Indonesian rupiah (Rp)	12 260.00
Iran (Islamic Republic of)	JPN		Iranian rial (RIs)	86.55
Japan	KAZ	1 April to 31 March	yen (¥)	
Kazakhstan		1 January to 31 December	tenge (T)	150.74
Kiribati	KIR	1 January to 31 December	Australian dollar (\$A)	0.96
Kyrgyzstan	KGZ	1 January to 31 December	som (som)	47.40
Lao People's Democratic Republic	LAO	1 October to 30 September	new kip (NK)	7 989.03
Macao, China	MAC	1 July to 30 June	pataca (P)	7.98
Malaysia	MYS	1 January to 31 December	ringgit (M\$)	3.06
Maldives	MDV	1 January to 31 December	rufiyaa (Rf)	15.37
Marshall Islands	MHL	1 October to 30 September	United States dollar (\$)	1.00
Micronesia (Federated States of)	FSM	1 October to 30 September	United States dollar (\$)	1.00
Mongolia	MNG	1 January to 31 December	tugrik (Tug)	1 392.10
Myanmar	MMR	1 April to 31 March	kyat (K)	848.50°
Nauru	NRU	1 July to 30 June	Australian dollar (\$A)	0.96
Nepal	NPL	16 July to 15 July	Nepalese rupee (NRs)	87.77
New Caledonia	NCL		French Pacific Community franc (FCFP)	90.44
New Zealand	NZL	1 April to 31 March	New Zealand dollar (\$NZ)	1.22
Niue	NIU	1 April to 31 March	New Zealand dollar (\$NZ)	1.22
Northern Mariana Islands	MNP	1 October to 30 September	United States dollar (\$)	1.00
Pakistan	PAK	1 July to 30 June	Pakistan rupee (PRs)	97.14
Palau	PLW	1 October to 30 September	United States dollar (\$)	1.00
Papua New Guinea	PNG	1 January to 31 December	kina (K)	2.10

Country or area in the ESCAP region	ISO Alpha-3 code	Fiscal year	Currency and abbreviation	Rate of exchange for \$1 as of 31 December 2012
Philippines	PHL	1 January to 31 December	Philippine peso (P)	41.19
Republic of Korea	KOR	1 January to 31 December	won (W)	1 070.60
Russian Federation	RUS	1 January to 31 December	ruble (R)	30.37
Samoa	WSM	1 July to 30 June	tala (WS\$)	2.28
Singapore	SGP	1 April to 31 March	Singapore dollar (S\$)	1.22
Solomon Islands	SLB	1 January to 31 December	Solomon Islands dollar (SI\$)	7.29
Sri Lanka	LKA	1 January to 31 December	Sri Lanka rupee (SL Rs)	127.08
Tajikistan	TJK	1 January to 31 December	somoni	4.76
Thailand	THA	1 October to 30 September	baht (B)	30.63
Timor-Leste	TLS	1 July to 30 June	United States dollar (\$)	1.00
Tonga	TON	1 July to 30 June	pa'anga (T\$)	1.72
Turkey	TUR	1 January to 31 December	Turkish lira (LT)	1.78
Turkmenistan	TKM	1 January to 31 December	Turkmen manat (M)	2.85
Tuvalu	TUV	1 January to 31 December	Australian dollar (\$A)	0.97
Uzbekistan	UZB	1 January to 31 December	Uzbek som (som)	1 980.00
Vanuatu	VUT	1 January to 31 December	vatu (VT)	91.96⁵
Viet Nam	VNM	1 January to 31 December	dong (D)	20 828.00

Sources: United Nations, Monthly Bulletin of Statistics website, http://unstats.un.org/unsd/mbs/data_files/t43.pdf, 30 March 2013; and national sources.

a 30 November 2012.b 31 October 2012.

ABBREVIATIONS

ADB Asian Development Bank

AEC ASEAN Economic Community

APEC Asia-Pacific Economic Cooperation

ASEAN Association of Southeast Asian Nations

ASEAN+3 ASEAN + China, Japan and Republic of Korea

ASEAN+6 ASEAN + Australia, China, India, Japan, New Zealand and Republic of Korea

CAL capital account liberalization

CLMV Cambodia, Lao People's Democratic Republic, Myanmar and Viet Nam

CO2 carbon dioxide

CPI consumer price index

CRED Centre for Research on the Epidemiology of Disasters

DAC Development Assistance Committee

DFID Department for International Development

ESCAP Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific

EPOC ESCAP Pacific Operations Centre

EU European Union

FDI foreign direct investment
GDP gross domestic product
GNI gross national income

GRB gender-responsive budgeting

IBRD International Bank for Reconstruction and Development

IEA International Energy Agency

ILO International Labour Organization

IMF International Monetary Fund

km2 square kilometre

kWh kilowatt-hour

LAC Latin America and Caribbean

LDCs least developed countries

LLDCs landlocked developing countries

LPG liquefied petroleum gas

LPI Logistics Performance Index

ABBREVIATIONS (continued)

MFI microfinance institutions

MW megawatt

NGO non-governmental organization
ODA official development assistance

OECD Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development

PISA Programme for International Student Assessment

PPP purchasing price parity

QE quantitative easing

R&D Research and development

Rio+20 United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development

SAARC South Asian Association for Regional Cooperation

SIDS small island developing States

SMEs small and medium-sized enterprises

SPC Secretariat of the Pacific Community

SPF social protection floor

SRO-SSWA Subregional Office for South and South-West Asia

UNCTAD United Nations Conference on Trade and Development

UNDESA United Nations, Department of Economic and Social Affairs

UNDP United Nations Development Programme

UNESCO United Nations Educational, Scientific and Cultural Organization

UNICEF United Nations Children's Fund

UNIFEM United Nations Development Fund for Women

UNISDR United Nations International Strategy for Disaster Reduction

WHO World Health Organization

SOURCES OF QUOTATIONS

- (a) Page 1: an excerpt from the speech of Prime Minister Sheikh Hasina (the People's Republic of Bangladesh) at the 18th Biennial Conference of Bangladesh Economic Association, 13 September 2012 (source: www.pmo.gov.bd/index.php?option=com_content&task=view&id=855&Itemid=353)
- (b) Page 13: an excerpt from the speech of President Xi Jinping (the People's Republic of China) at the opening plenary of BFA Annual Conference in his capacity as Vice-President, 11 April 2010 (source: www.english.people.com.cn/90001/90776/90883/6946380.html)
- (c) Page 69: an excerpt from the speech of Prime Minister Peter O'Neill (Papua New Guinea) at the 5th Bali Democracy Forum, 8-9 November 2012 (source: http://www.pngperspective.com/news/oneill-papua-new-guinea-emerged-stronger-and-secure-after-constitutional-crisis/)
- (d) Page 137: an excerpt from the speech of President Vladimir Putin (the Russian Federation) at the meeting with G20 Finance Ministers and Central Bank Governors, 15 February 2013 (source: www. eng.kremlin.ru/transcripts/5007)
- (e) Page 175: an excerpt from the statement of Prime Minister Manmohan Singh (the Republic of India) at the High-level round table of the United Nations Conference on Sustainable Development, Rio+20, 21 June 2012 (source: www.uncsd2012.org/index.php?page=view&type=12&actor=25&statement=990&nr=210&menu=76&str=&t=respondent)